91180

FILED/ACCEPTED

APR 102007

Ser Progre political

Mar 9, 2007

Federal Communications Commission Office of the Secretary

FCC Public Comments 445 12th Street SW Washington, DC 20554

I totally agree with the people who put this together. I would welcome action on your part to make the home user a priority in this dilema.

Thank you so much for considering our requests.

Ruben Aldridge

"As a consumer interested in protecting competition, innovation, and legitimate use of cable TV content, I urge you to refuse requests for waivers of 47 CFR 76.1204(a)(1) by NCTA, Charter, Verizon, and all other cable providers. The FCC's integration ban, which in effect requires cable companies to integrate CableCARDs into their own set-top boxes, remains good policy today.

Now ten years after the Telecommunications Act of 1996, cable companies have dragged their feet long enough on competitive alternatives to proprietary set-top boxes, thus hampering innovation and harming consumers. The integration ban will **also** help market competition prevent further restrictions on cable subscribers' ability to make legit-imate use of recorded content.

By adopting content protection limits (encoding rules) in docket no. 97-30, the Commission recognized the importance of allowing consumers to make certain uses of TV content, regardless of a particular cable provider's or copyright holder's wishes. With competition spurred on by the integration ban, consumers would have the freedom to choose the least restrictive cable-compatible device available. The CableCARD standard already prescribes restrictions that harm consumers by limiting nun-infringing uses, and such restrictions will get even worse if cable providers' set-top boxes are unchecked by competition.

Flease refuse requests for waivers of 47 CFR 76.1204(a)(1)."

Sincerely,

Mr. Ruben Aldridge 3/16 Wrxford Hollow Rd E Jacksonville, FL 32224-8609

> No. of Copies rec'd *O* List A B C D E

FILED/ACCEPTED

Ma; 29, 2007

FCC Public Comments 445 12th Street SW Washington, DC 20554

APR 102007

917-60

Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

As a consumer interested in protecting competition, innovation, and legitimate use of cable TV content, I urge you to refuse requests for waivers of 47 CFR 76.1204(a)(1) by NCTA, Charter, Verizon, and all other cable providers. The FCC's integration ban, which in effect requires cable companies to integrate CableCARDs into their own et-tip boxes, remains good policy today.

Now ten years after the Telecommunications Act of 1996, cable companies have dragged their feet long enough on competitive alternatives to proprietary set-top boxes, thus hampering innovation and harming consumers. The integration ban will also help market competition prevent further restrictions on cable subscribers' ability to make legitimate use of recorded content.

By adopting content protection limits (encoding rules) in docket no. 97-80, the Commission recognized the importance of allowing consumers to make certain uses of TV content, regardless of a particular cable provider's or copyright holder's wishes. With competition spurred on by the integration ban, consumers would have the freedom to choose the least restrictive cable-compatible device available. The CableCARD standard already prescribes restrictions that harm consumers by limiting non-infringing uses, and such restrictions will get even worse if cable providers' set-top boxes are unchecked by competition.

Flease refuse requests for waivers of 47 CFR 76.1204(a) (1)

Sincerely,

Mr. Herb Zite 4800 S Chicago Beach Dr 1806-South Chicago, IL 60615-7032

No. of Copies rac'd 0

91-80

FILED/ACCEPTED

Feb 24, 2007

FCC Public Comments 145 12th Street SW washington, DC 20554

APR TOTON

Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

 $_{\rm AS}$ a consumer interested in protecting competition, innovation, and legitimate use of cable TV content, I urge you to refuse requests for waivers of 47 CFR 76.1204(a)(1) by NCTA, Charter, Verizon, and all other cable providers. The FCC's integration ban, which in effect requires cable companies to integrate CableCARDs into their own set-top boxes, remains good policy today.

Now ten years after the Telecommunications Act of 1996, cable companies have dragged their feet long enough on competitive alternatives to proprietary set-top boxes, thus hampering innovation and harming consumers. The integration ban will also help market competition prevent further restrictions on cable subscribers' ability to make legitimate use of recorded content.

By adopting content protection limits (encoding rules) in docket no. 97-80, the Commission recognized the importance of allowing consumers to make certain uses of TV content, regardless of a particular cable provider's or copyright holder's wishes. With competition spurred on by the integration ban, consumers would have the freedom to choose the least restrictive cable-compatible device available. The CableCARD standard already prescribes restrictions that harm consumers by limiting non-infringing uses, and such restrictions will get even worse if cable providers' set-top boxes are unchecked by competition.

Flease refuse requests for waivers of 47 CFR 76.1204(a)(1).

Sincerely,

Mr. Evan Ziemann 124 Calla Ct Chalaska, WI 54650-8317

> No. of Copies rec'd_ Ust A B C D E

0

FILED/ACCEPTED

91-80

APR 102007

Mar 22, 2007

FCC Public Comments 145 12th Street SW Washington, DC 20554 Federal Communications Commission Office of the Secretary

is a consumer interested in protecting competition, innovation, and legitimate use of cable TV content, I urge you to refuse requests for waivers of 41 CFR 76.1204(a)(1) by NCTA, Charter, Verizon, and all other cable providers. The FCC's integration ban, which in effect requires cable companies to integrate CableCARDs into their own set-top boxes, remains good policy today.

Now ten years after the Telecommunications Act of 1996, cable companies have dragged their feet long enough on competitive alternatives to proprietary set-top boxes, thus hampering innovation and harming consumers. The integration ban will also help market competition prevent further restrictions on cable subscribers' ability to make legitimate use of recorded content.

By adopting content protection limits (encoding rules) in docket no. 97-80, the Commission recognized the importance of allowing consumers to make certain uses of TV content, regardless of a particular cable provider's or copyright holder's wishes. With competition spurred on by the integration ban, consumers would have the freedom to choose the least restrictive cable-compatible device available. The CableCARD standard already prescribes restrictions that harm consumers by limiting non-infringing uses, and such restrictions will get even worse if cable providers' set-top boxes are unchecked by competition.

Please refuse requests for waivers of 47 CFR 76.1204 (a)(1).

Sincerely,

Mr. Cameron Young 133 Osprey Point Dr Osprey, FL 34229-9099

> Lo. of Geples rac'd <u>O</u> Sst A B C D E

FILED/ACCEPTED

Mar 13, 2007

FCC Public Comments 445 12th Street SW Washington, DC 20554 APR 1 02007

Federal Communications Commission Office of the Secretary



As a consumer interested in protecting competition, innovation, and Legitimate use of cable TV content, I urge you to refuse requests for waivers of 47 CFR 76.1204(a)(1) by NCTA, Chaster, Verizon, and all other cable providers. The FCC's integration ban, which in effect requires cable companies to integrate CableCARDs into their own set-top boxes, remains good policy today.

Now ten years after the Telecommunications Act of 1996, cable companies have dragged their feet long enough on competitive alternatives to proprietary set-top boxes, thus hampering innovation ind harming consumers. The integration ban will also help market competition prevent further restrictions on cable subscribers' ability to make legitimate use of recorded content.

By adopting content protection limits (encoding rules) in docket no. 97-80, the Commission recognized the importance of allowing consumers to make certain uses of TV content, regardless of a particular cable provider's or copyright holder's wishes. With competition spurred on by the integration ban, consumers would have the freedom to choose the least restrictive cable-compatible device available. The CableCARD standard already prescribes restrictions that harm consumers by limiting non-infringing uses, and such restrictions will get even worse if cable providers' set-top boxes are unchecked by competition.

Please refuse requests for waivers of 47 CFR 76.1204(a)(1).

Sincerely,

Mr. Ben Adamson 100 Thornton Rd Needham, MA 02492-4330

No. of Copies recid	0
ListABCDE	

91-60 FILED/ACCEPTED Federal Communications Commission

Jan 15, 2007

FCC Public Comments 445 12th Street SW Washington, DC 20554

As a consumer interested in protecting competition, innovation, and Legitimate use of cable TV content, I urge you to refuse requests for waivers of 47 CFR 76.1204(a)(1) by NCTA, Charter, Verizon, and all other cable providers. The FCC's integration ban, which in effect requires cable companies to integrate CableCARDs into their own set-top boxes, remains good policy today.

Now ten years after the Telecommunications Act of 1996, cable companies have dragged their feet long enough on competitive alternatives to proprietary set-top boxes, thus hampering innovation and harming consumers. The integration ban will also help market competition prevent further restrictions on cable subscribers' ability to make legitimate use of recorded content.

By adopting content protection limits (encoding rules) in docket no. 97-80, the Commission recognized the importance of allowing consumers to make certain uses of TV content, regardless of a particular cable provider's or copyright holder's wishes. With competition spurred on by the integration ban, consumers would have the freedom to choose the Least restrictive cable-compatible device available. The CableCARD standard already prescribes restrictions that harm consumers by limiting non-infringing uses, and such restrictions will get even worse :.fcable providers' set-top boxes are unchecked by competition.

Please refuse requests for waivers of 41 CFR 76.1204(a)(1).

5incerely,

Mr. Scott Harrison 2845 N River Birch Dr Unit B Brookfield, WI 53045-3116

> i au. of Copies racid LISTABCDE

FILED/ACCEPTED

APR 102007

Federal Communications Commission

Office of the Secretary

Jan 26, 2007

FCC Public Comments 445 12th Street SW Washington, DC 20554

As a consumer interested in protecting competition, innovation, and regitimate use of cable TV content, I urge you to refuse requests for waivers of 47 CFR 76.1204(a)(1) by NCTA, Charter, Verizon, and all other cable providers. The FCC's integration ban, which in effect requires cable companies to integrate CableCARDs into their own set-top boxes, remains good policy today.

Now ten years after the Telecommunications Act of 1996, cable companies have dragged their feet long enough on competitive alternatives to proprietary set-top boxes, thus hampering innovation and harming consumers. The integration ban will also help market competition prevent further restrictions on cable subscribers' ability to make legitimate use of recorded content.

By adopting content protection limits (encoding rules) in docket no. 07-80, the Commission recognized the importance of allowing consumers to make certain uses of TV content, regardless of a particular cable provider's or copyright holder's wishes. With competition spurred on by the integration ban, consumers would have the freedom to choose the least restrictive cable-compatible device available. The CableCARD standard already prescribes restrictions that harm consumers by limiting non-infringing uses, and such restrictions will get even worse if cable providers' set-top boxes are unchecked by competition.

Please refuse requests for waivers of 41 CFR 76.1204(a)(1).

Sincerely,

robert bell
14608 Back Valley Rd
Sale Creek, TN 37373-7712

No. of Copies rec'd_ List A B C D E



Jan 26, 2007

FCC Public Comments 445 12th Street SW Washington, DC 20554

As a consumer interested in protecting competition, innovation, and legitimate use of cable TV content, I urge you to refuse requests for waivers of 47 CFR 76.1204(a)(1) by NCTA, Charter, Verizon, and all other cable providers. The FCC's integration ban, which in effect requires cable companies to integrate CableCARDs into their own set-top boxes, remains good policy today.

Now ten years after the Telecommunications Act of 1996, cable companies have dragged their feet long enough on competitive alternatives to proprietary set-top boxes, thus hampering innovation and harming ronsumers. The integration ban will also help market competition prevent further restrictions on cable subscribers' ability to make legitimate use of recorded content.

By adopting content protection limits (encoding rules) in docket no. 97-80, the Commission recognized the importance of allowing consumers to make certain uses of TV content, regardless of a particular cable provider's or copyright holder's wishes. With competition spurred on by the integration ban, consumers would have the freedom to choose the least restrictive cable-compatible device available. The CableCARD standard already prescribes restrictions that harm consumers by limiting non-infringing uses, and such restrictions will get even worse if cable providers' set-top boxes are unchecked by competition.

Please refuse requests for waivers of 47 CFR 76.1204(a)(1).

Sincerely,

..... Chris W. Johnson 5702 Jeff Davis Ave Austin, TX 76756-1226

No. of Copies rec'd	0
ListABCDE	

FILED/ACCEPTEL

APR 1 0 2007

Federal Communications Commission

Office of the Secretary

Jan 18, 2007

FCC Public Comments 445 12th Street SW Washington, DC 20554

As a consumer interested in protecting competition, innovation, and legitimate use of cable TV content, I urge you to refuse requests for waivers of 47 CFR 76.1204(a)(1) by NCTA, Charter, Verizon, and all other cable providers. The FCC's integration ban, which in effect requires cable companies to integrate CableCARDs into their own set-top boxes, remains good policy today.

Now ten years after the Telecommunications Act of 1996, cable companies have dragged their feet long enough on competitive alternatives to proprietary set-top boxes, thus hampering innovation and harming consumers. The integration ban will also help market competition prevent further restrictions on cable subscribers' ability to male legitimate use of recorded content.

By adopting content protection limits (encoding rules) in docket no. 17-80, the Commission recognized the importance of allowing consumers to make certain uses of TV content, regardless of a particular cable provider's or copyright holder's wishes. With competition spurred on by the integration ban, consumers would have the freedom to choose the least restrictive iable-compatible device available. The CableCARD standard already prescribes restrictions that harm consumers by limiting non-infringing uses, and such restrictions will get even worse if cable providers' set-top boxes are unchecked by competition.

Please refuse requests for waivers of 47 CFR 76.1204(a)(1)

Sincerely,

Mr. Ian Williams 23 Twilight Ln Brookfield, CT 06804-1425

ಿಎ. of Copies rec'd	0
ListABCDE	



FCC Public Comments 445 12th Street SW Washington, DC 20554

As a consumer interested in protecting competition, innovation, and legitimate use of cable TV content, I urge you to refuse requests for vaivers of 47 CFR 76.1204(a)(1) by NCTA, Charter, Verizon, and all other cable providers. The FCC's integration ban, which in effect requires cable companies to integrate CableCARDs into their own st-top boxes, remains good policy today.

Now ten years after the Telecommunications Act of 1996, cable companies have dragged their feet long enough on competitive alternatives to proprietary set-top boxes, thus hampering innovation and harming consumers. The integration ban will also help market competition prevent further restrictions on cable subscribers' ability to make legitimate use of recorded content.

By adopting centent protection limits (encoding rules) in docket no. 97-80, the Commission recognized the importance of allowing consumers to make certain uses of TV content, regardless of a particular cable provider's or copyright holder's wishes. With competition spurred on by the integration ban, consumers would have the freedom to choose the least restrictive cable-compatible device available. The CableCARD standard already prescribes restrictions that harm consumers by limiting non-infringing uses, and such restrictions will get even worse if cable providers' set-top boxes are unchecked by competition.

Please refuse requests for waivers of 41 CFR 76.1204(a)(1).

Sincerely,

Mr. Scott Ketterer 6435 SW 166th Pl Beaverton, OR 97007-6259

ಟು. of Copies recid	0
ListABCDE	

FILED/ACCEPTED

APR 102007

Federal Communications Commission

Office of the Secretary

Fan 10, 2007

FCC Public Comments 445 12th Street SW Washington, DC 20554

I write to urye you to refuse requests for waivers of 47 CFK 76.1204(a)(1) by NCTA, Charter, Verizon, and all other cable providers. ?he FCC's integration ban, requiring integration of TableCARDs into cable company set-tap boxes, remains good policy today. The integration ban will help market competition prevent further restrictions on cable subscribers' ability to make legitimate use of recorded content.

I have used a TiVo unit to watch television for the past couple of years. I recently bought a TiVo series 3 unit that is built to use cable card technology. I am disappointed that restrictions in the CableCARD standard prevent me from fully using my TiVo to transfer recordings between my TiVo and my home computer or to view certain internet broadcasting on the TiVo.

The CableCARD standard restrictions harm consumers like me by limiting non-infringing uses, and such restrictions will get even Worse if mable providers' set-top boxes are unchecked by competition.

With competition spurred on by the integration ban, consumers would have the freedom to choose the least restrictive cable-compatible device available.

Figase refuse requests for waivers of 47 CFR 76.1204(a)(1).

Sincerely,

Mr. Lyman Welch 1369 Brinton Run Rd West Chester, PA 19382-8227

No.	of Copies recid	0
List	ABCDE	

FILED/ACCEPTED

APR 1 0 2007

Federal Communications Commission

Office of the Secretary

Jan 14, 2007

FCC Public Comments 445 12th Street SW Washington, DC 20554

As a consumer interested in protecting competition, innovation, and legitimate use of cable TV content, I urge you to refuse requests for waivers of 47 CFR 76.1204(a!(1) by NCTA, Charter, Verizon, and all. of er cable providers. The FCC's integration ban, which in effect requires cable companies to integrate CableCARDs into their own set-top boxes, remains good policy today.

Now ten years after the Telecommunications Act of 1996, cable names nies have dragged their feet long enough on competitive alternatives to proprietary set-top boxes, thus hampering innovation and harming consumers. The integration ban will also help market competition prevent further restrictions on cable subscribers' ability to make legitimate use of recorded content.

By adopting content protection Limits (encoding rules) in docket no. 97-80, the Commission recognized the importance of allowing consumers to make certain uses of TV content, regardless of a particular cable provider's or copyright holder's wishes. With competition spurred on by the integration ban, consumers would have the freedom to choose the least restrictive cable-compatible device available. The CableCARD standard already prescribes restrictions that harm consumers by limiting non-infringing uses, and such restrictions will get even worse it cable providers' set-top boxes are unchecked by competition.

Please refuse requests for waivers of 47 CER 76.1204(a)(1)

Sincerely,

Mt. Michael Thompson 153 Leslie Dr San Carlos, CA 94070-3459

No. of Copies rec'd_	0
List ABCDE	

Jan 18, 2007

FCC Public Comments 445 12th Street SW Washington, DC 20554



As a consumer interested in protecting competition, innovation, and legitimate use of cable TV content, I urge you to refuse requests for waivers of 47 CFR 76.1204(a)(1) by NCTA, Charter, Verizon, and all other cable providers. The FCC's integration ban, which in effect requires cable companies to integrate CableCARDs into their own set-top boxes, remains good policy today.

Now ten years after the Telecommunications Act of 1996, cable companies have dragged their feet long enough on competitive alternatives to proprietary set-top boxes, thus hampering innovation and harming consumers. The integration ban will also help market competition prevent further restrictions on cable subscribers' ability to make legitimate use of recorded content.

By adopting content protection limits !encoding rules) in docket no. a_{7-8} °, the Commission recognized the importance of allowing consumers to make certain uses of TV content, regardless of a particular cable provider's or copyright holder's wishes. With competition spurred on by the integration ban, consumers would have the freedom to choose the least restrictive cable-compatible device available. The CableCARD standard already prescribes restrictions that harm consumers by limiting non-infringing uses, and such restrictions will get even worse if cable providers' set-top boxes are unchecked by competition.

Please refuse requests for waivers of 47 CFR 76.1204(a)(1).

Sincerely,

Tor Ferkins 366 Frinceton Ave Mill Valley, CA 94941-3544

(45), of Copies recid 0	
ListABCDE	

FILED/ACCEPTED

APR 1 0 2007

Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

lan 13, 2007

ruc Fublic Comments
445 12th Street SW
Washington, DC 20554

As a consumer interested in protecting competition, innovation, and legitimate use of cable TV content, I urge you to refuse requests for waivers of 47 CFR 76.1204(a)(1) by NCTA, Charter, Verizon, and all other cable providers. The FCC's integration ban, which in effect requires cable companies to integrate CableCARDs into their own set-top boxes, remains good policy today.

Note the years after the Tilecommunications Act of 1996, cable companies have dragged their feet long enough on competitive alternatives to proprietary set-top boxes, thus hampering innovation and harming consumers. The integration ban will also help market competition prevent further restrictions on cable subscribers' ability to make legitimate use of recorded content.

By adopting content protection limits (encoding rules) in docket no. 97-80, the Commission recognized the importance of allowing consumers to make certain uses of TV content, regardless of a particular cable provider's or copyright holder's wishes. With competition spurred on by the integration ban, consumers would have the freedom to choose the least restrictive cable-compatible device available. The CableCARD standard already prescribes restrictions that harm consumers by Limiting non-infringing uses, and such restrictions will get even warse if cable providers' set-top boxes are unchecked by competition.

Flease refuse requests for waivers of 47 CFR 76.1204(a)(1).

Sincerely,

Mr. Mark Moore 88 Pine Island Rd Hopkinton, MA 01748-2225



Tan 11, 2007

FCC Public Comments 445 12th street SW Washington, DC 20504

A. a consumer interested in protecting competition, innovation, and legitimate use of cable TV content, I urge you to refuse requests for waivers of 47 CFR 76.1204(a)(1) by NCTA, Charter, Verizon, and all other cable providers. The FCC's integration ban, which in effect requires cable companies to integrate CableCARDs into their own set-top boxes, remains good policy today.

Now ten years after the Telecommunications Act of 1996, cable companies have dragged their feet long enough on competitive alternatives to proprietary set-top boxes, thus hampering innovation and harming consumers. The integration ban will also help market competition prevent further restrictions on cable subscribers' ability to make Legitimate use of recorded content.

By adopting content protection limits (encoding rules) in docket no. 97-80, the Commission recognized the importance of allowing consumers to make certain uses of TV content, regardless of a particular cable provider's or copyright holder's wishes. With competition spurred on by the integration ban, consumers would have the freedom to choose the least restrictive cable-compatible device available. The CableCARD standard already prescribes restrictions that harm consumers by timiting non-infringing uses, and such restrictions will get even worse if cable providers' set-top boxes are unchecked by competition.

Please refuse requests for waivers of 47 CFR 76.1204(a)(1).

Sincerely,

Chris Kugler 149 Hawthorn St Massapequa Park, NY 11762-2042

No. of Copies racid	0
ListABCDE	